

Project funded by the European Union

Module 1: Introduction and Main phases of PIM/ IMT programmes

1. Goal

To understand that the adoption o fParticipatory Irrigation Management(PIM) and Irrigation Management Transfer (/IMT) programmes is a complex decision that requires: political support, financial resources, good diagnosis of the problems affecting irrigated agriculture and considerable time for its implementation.

2. Learning Objectives

To familiarize the participants with:

a) the large coverage of PIM /IMT programmes in many countries and the reasons leading to their adoption

b) The political support as an important condition for creating an enabling environment for the PIM/IMT programs.

c) the main phases that are generally adopted by countries to implement them

3. Key messages.

- a) The concepts of PIM and IMT are similar but not the same
- b) Motivations for adopting PIM/IMT programmes include among others:
- Governments need to reduce public expenditures

• Centrally financed bureaucracies tend to lack the capacity to be effective providers of irrigation water services

- WUAs have proven in many countries to be effective managers of the irrigation water
- c) The expected benefits of PIM/IMT programmes may include
- Substantial reduction of the public yearly expenditures and reduction of the government staff.
- Increased efficiencies of the irrigation systems
- Increased mobilization of local resources (human and financial)
- Contributionto greater agricultural productivity
- d) PIM/IMT programmes are long time undertakings that are generally divided in several phases.

e) The first phase is about assessing the need for IMT/PIM and creating **an enabling environment** for supporting the adoption of a transfer policy.

f) In assessing the need for a PIM/IMT programme it is important to understand the performance gaps of the irrigated agriculture and assess if physical improvements will improve the situation or major institutional reforms are needed.

g) Political support is a key element for creating an enabling environment in the implementation of the programmes.

h) Stating clearly the government goals in the implementation of the programme is of key importance and facilitates much its implementation.

4. References

Vermillion,D.L and Sagardoy, J. A, 1999. Transfer of irrigation management Services. Guidelines.FAO Irrigation and drainage Paper No. 58, Rome. Can be downloaded from <u>www.fao.org</u>







Project funded by the European Union

Vermillion, D. L. 1997, Impacts of the of irrigation management transfer : a review of the evidence. IWMI Research report 11, Colombo. Sry LANKA International Water management Institute.Can be downloaded from.<u>http://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/publications/iwmi_research_reports/pdf/pub011/report11.pdf</u>

Huppert, W. 1989. Irrigation management transfer: Changing complex delivery systems for O&M services. In DVWK bulletin

Pant , N. 2008. Key Issues In Participatory Irrigation Management. IWMI. Can be downloaded at http://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/











umweltbundesamt[®]