

TRAINING WORKSHOP "Training workshop & study tour for developing the capacity of prosecutors & investigators for the enforcement of water & environment legislations" 3-5 June 2013, Delft, The Netherland.

3- Reliability and credibility of evidence of non compliance

Evidentiary Considerations

- Chain-of-custody procedures & prescribed analytical standard methods in monitoring & inspection reports will assist the admission of evidence.
- Individuals who collected, kept & analyzed samples are qualified to testify in court.

- If the non-complier does not intend to contest the integrity of the sample or testing evidence, admission of evidence can save a great deal of trial time.
- To avoid contesting the case, the procedures followed in the collection, preparation & analyses of evidentiary samples need to be standardized & <u>detailed in a manual</u>, which, if necessary, can be offered as evidence of the regularly conducted procedures followed by the laboratory in generating results.
- Thus, whether or not the inspector anticipates that a report will be introduced as evidence, the inspector should make certain that the report is as accurate & objective as possible.

Investing in Credible Evidences

- Results generated from water & environment monitoring systems in support of regulations compliance monitoring requirements, <u>have the potential of ending up in court</u>, sometimes in criminal court where the evidentiary requirements are much more stringent.
- This implies that all analysts need to be trained if legal defensibility of data is to be maintained.

Staff Should be Qualified as Expert Witness:

- Regulatory agencies responsible for water & environment testing laboratories & monitoring stations should have staff that can provide indisputable evidences of non-compliance & serve as expert in testimony for prosecution.
- An important part of the essential evidence used to support scientific proof in court cases is the demonstration & documentation of the level of training of the analyst.
- Much scientific evidence has been refused admission or severely tainted due to a lack of documented training of the "expert witness".

Weaknesses in Analyst (Witness Expert) Training as Tempting Targets for Legal Challenges in Court

• Six known weaknesses:

- 1. The expert witness is unqualified to swear for the theory's validity
 - Lack of understanding of the theory.
 - Lack or insufficiency of theoretical background
- 2. The expert witness is unqualified to vow for the instrument's reliability.
 - Unfamiliarity with the instrumentation & techniques

- 4. The expert witness was unqualified to maintain the equipment.
- 5. The expert witness was unqualified to operate the equipment and conduct the test.
 - Whether a credential is required
 - Whether the witness possesses the credential
- 6. The expert witness did not use prescribed standard procedures in conducting the test.
- 7. The expert witness is unqualified to interpret the test result.

Conclusion

- Expert testimony is evidence presented by a person where **both sides & the court agree that the person is an expert on the subject** at issue because of his education, qualification, training and/or knowledge of the subject matter.
- As with all evidences, <u>a witness must describe</u> why, where, who, and what the results were, because the witness saw these occurrences or was personally involved in the act.

Why Noncompliance with Water & Environment Legislations?

- Three main reasons that come to mind:
- 1. Violator not aware of the legislation.
- 2. Violator don't know how to comply.
- 3. Violator is reaping some economic benefits by non-complying.

- Compliance can be achieved through the following:
- 1. Issue the water & environment requirements.
- 2. Promote compliance through communication of legislation, publication of relevant information, etc...
- 3. Enforce legislation through the following:
 - Development of inspection capacities, <u>credible</u> monitoring & <u>accredited</u> measuring systems.
 - Preparation of procedures for <u>investigations of violations</u> & <u>rules for assessment of penalties</u>.
 - Identification of the measure taken to compel compliance without resorting to formal court action.
 - Development of measures to compel compliance through court action.

ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE TO VIOLATIONS

1-CRITERIA FOR RESPONSES TO VIOLATIONS

Whenever a violation is discovered, enforcement officials should apply the following factors in deciding on the kind of enforcement action they must take:

- Nature of the violation (intent, attempt to conceal information, repeated occurrence)
- Effectiveness in achieving the desired result with the violator (history of compliance, willingness to cooperate)
- Consistency & predictability in enforcement.

2- LEVELS OF ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS AVAILABLE TO REPOND TO WATER & ENVIRONEMENT VIOLATIONS:

a) Administrative Actions:

- <u>Informal administrative actions</u> are basically notices of noncompliance or warning letters issued from regulator. They are usually advisory in nature.
- Formal administrative actions are legal actions that result in an order requiring the violator to correct the violations & in most cases, the violators pay a civil penalty that commensurate with the seriousness & circumstances of the violation.

- It is recommended that administrative actions can be taken under the regulator internal administrative trial system. This system is very comparable to any court system, except that it is presided over by **regulator's administrative law judges**, whose salaries in this case should be paid by the regulating agency.
- Violators should always have the right to appeal the initial rulings of the administrative judge.

b) Civil Judicial Actions:

- The civil judicial action requires efficient and prompt court systems with judges familiar with water & environmental issues and regulations.
- The number of judges who are familiar with water & environment laws & requirement in some SWIM Countries is insufficient.

c) Criminal Judicial Actions:

- Criminal actions are taken when a person has **knowingly and willfully** committed a violation of the water & environment law.
- In a criminal case, the magistrate prosecutes the alleged violator in a court system, seeking criminal sanctions, usually including fines and incarceration.

INCORPORATION & ASSESSEMENT OF PENALTIES FOR VIOLATORS

- Penalties for noncompliance should be incorporated with the water & environment ordinances, including standards & Maximum Permissible Levels (MPL)
- In addition to cash penalties, regulators in SWIM-PCs should use other sanctions, such as: denying or revoking permits, partial/full shutdown of operations, cutting essential services, imposing additional compliance conditions, incarceration, & publicizing enforcement actions.

CRITERIA TO ASSESS SANCTIONS FOR DETERRENCE

- A penalty should include an amount reflecting the seriousness or gravity of the violation
- Factors that regulating agency should take into consideration:
- 1. Actual or possible damage caused by the violation
- 2. Relative impact of a penalty on the violator
- 3. Amount of pollutant released to the environment
- 4. Degree of toxicity of the discharged pollutant
- 5. Sensitivity & vulnerability of the environment

- 6. The duration of time a violation continued.
- 7. The degree of willfulness or negligence.
- 8. The degree of cooperation or non-cooperation in reporting of noncompliance & prompt correction of environmental problems.
- 9. History of noncompliance.
- 10. Ability of the violator to pay the fine.

Thank you Merci pour for your attentionvotre attention



For additional information please contact: Sustainable Water Integrated Management — Support Mechanism: info@swim-sm.eu²²